

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

JULIE SANTOVITO,

Case No. 2:15-cv-01032-RFB-PAL

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

ELDORADO RESORTS CORPORATION, et
al.

Defendants.

Before the court is the parties' Stipulated Protective Order (Dkt. #36), which the court approved to facilitate the parties' discovery exchanges. This order is intended to remind counsel and the parties that there is a presumption of public access to judicial files and records. A party seeking to file a confidential document under seal must file a motion to seal and must comply with the Ninth Circuit's directives in *Kamakana v. City and County of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172 (9th Cir. 2006).

Although the court approved the blanket protective order, the parties have not shown, and court has not found, that any specific documents are secret or confidential. The parties have not provided specific facts supported by affidavits or concrete examples to establish that a protective order is required to protect any specific trade secret or other confidential information under Rule 26(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or that disclosure would cause an identifiable and significant harm. The Ninth Circuit has held that there is a presumption of public access to judicial files and records, thus, parties seeking to maintain the confidentiality of documents attached to non-dispositive motions must show good cause exists to overcome the presumption of public access. *See Kamakana*, 447 F.3d at 1179. Parties seeking to maintain the secrecy of documents attached to dispositive motions must show compelling reasons sufficient to overcome

1 the presumption of public access. *Id.* at 1180. Under *Kamakana*, the party designating
2 documents confidential should submit a memorandum of points and authorities presenting
3 articulable facts that identify the interests in favor of the documents' continued secrecy and
4 showing that these specific interests outweigh the public's strong interest in transparency.

5 In addition to *Kamakana*, the parties are required to follow the proper CM/ECF
6 procedures for any requests to file documents under seal. Special Order 109 requires the Clerk
7 of the Court to maintain in electronic form the official files for all cases filed on or after
8 November 7, 2005. The electronic record constitutes the official record of the court. Pursuant to
9 LR 10-5 of the Local Rules of Practice, attorneys must file documents under seal using the
10 court's electronic filing procedures:

11 Unless otherwise permitted by statute, rule or prior Court order, papers filed with
12 the Court under seal shall be accompanied by a motion for leave to file those
13 documents under seal, and shall be filed in accordance with the Court's electronic
14 filing procedures. If papers are filed under seal pursuant to prior Court order, the
15 papers shall bear the following notation on the first page, directly under the case
number: "FILED UNDER SEAL PURSUANT TO COURT ORDER DATED
_____." All papers filed under seal will remain sealed until such time as
the Court may deny the motion to seal or enter an order to unseal them, or the
documents are unsealed pursuant to Local Rule.

16 *See* LR 10-5(b).

17 To streamline the process of sealing or unsealing documents as may be necessary, the
18 parties are instructed to electronically file the documents they want sealed as separate
19 attachments from the main document in CM/ECF. When portions of a filing may be sealed,
20 litigants must not combine their motion, memorandum of points and authorities, declaration,
21 and/or exhibits into one PDF document and then file that single PDF as the "main document" in
22 CM/ECF's document upload screen. This practice makes it impossible for the Clerk of the Court
23 to unseal documents the court finds should not be sealed because the docketing clerks cannot
24 separate the pages for sealing purposes. Instead, litigants should save *each* exhibit they want
25 sealed as a separate PDF document and then file each PDF in CM/ECF's document upload
26 screen as "attachments" to a main document. The court's review of any motion requesting leave
27 to file under seal will be complicated by the parties' failure to properly file their exhibits through
28 CM/ECF.

